

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). On January 14, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Plaintiff, and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court"). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds

	Case 2:24-cv-01420-DJC-JDP Document 11 Filed 02/18/25 Page 2 of 2
1	the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the prope
2	analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed January 14, 2025, are
5	ADOPTED;
6	2. Plaintiff's motion for default judgment, ECF No. 7, is denied without
7	prejudice;
8	3. This matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for all furthe
9	pretrial matters.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	Dated: February 14, 2025
13	Hon. Daniel J. G alabretta UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	